

Docket JP920000198US1

Appl. No.: 09/649,738
Filed: August 28, 2000

In the United States Patent and Trademark Office

RECEIVED
CENTRAL FAX CENTER

In re the application of: Hulikunta)
Prahlad Raghunandan)
)
Filed: 08/28/2000) Group Art Unit: 2155
)
For: Method to Check E-mail) Examiner: Philip B. Tran
Count at Boot Time in)
Personal Computer Systems)
)
Appl. No.: 09/649,738)
)
Applicant's Docket:)
JP920000198US1)

SEP 20 2004

CERTIFICATE OF Facsimile Transmission

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being transmitted via facsimile to
USPTO Group Art Unit 2124 at telephone number 703-872-9306 and to the
attention of Examiner Philip B. Tran on 9-20-2004.

Anthony V.S. England
Anthony V.S. England

9-20-2004
Date

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

CORRECTION TO APPEAL BRIEF

In the Appeal Brief filed on August 23, 2004, please revise the first paragraph in the
section entitled "ARGUMENT," page 4, as follows:

Docket JP920000198US1

Appl. No.: 09/649,738
Filed: August 28, 2000

The Final Office Action contends claims 1 through 9 are unpatentable under 35 U.S.C. 103. Office action, March 19, 2004 (the "Final Office Action"). Appellant respectfully disagrees. All the words of a claim must be considered in a rejection pursuant to 35 U.S.C. 1032. MPEP 2143.03 (citing *In re Royka*, 490 F.2d 981, MPEP 2131 (citing *Verdegaal Bros. v. Union Oil Co. of California*, 814 F.2d 628, 631 ("A claim is anticipated only if each and every element as set forth in the claim is found, either expressly or inherently described, in a single prior art reference.")). Neither Khanna nor Thurlow, alone or in combination, teach or even suggest all the elements set forth in the claims of the present application.

Respectfully submitted,

By Anthony V.S. England
Anthony V.S. England
Registration No. 35,129
Attorney of Record for
IBM Corporation
PO Box 5307
Austin, Texas 78763-5307
Telephone: 512-477-7165
a@aengland.com